|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting/Project Name:** | R&D - Real-Time Scoreboard | Client meeting | |
| **Date of Meeting:** (DD/MM/YYYY) | 08/08/2017 | **Time:** | 3pm to 4pm |
| **Meeting Facilitator:** | Dr. Robin | **Location:** | AUT University |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendees** | **Present** | **Not present (reason)** |
| Vinicius Alves | Yes |  |
| Hayley Cleverdon | Yes |  |
| Karanjit Gahunia | Yes |  |
| Seung-Kyu Jin | Yes |  |
| Alex Lu | Yes |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Deadlines** |  | |
| **Action Item** | **Assigned To** | **Completed** |
| Revise technical assessment | KG | Done |
| Finalize operational study | Alex | Done |
| Finalize legal study | Jin | Done |
| References check | Group | Done |
| Proofreading of content by | Hayley\Karanjit\Vini | Done |
| Final editing | KG | Done |
| Final quality assurance to be performed | Hayley\KG | Done |
|  |  |  |
| Add a benefits and issues. Parts affected: Operational assessment, legal assessment and technical assessment. | Group | Done |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Meeting Objective** |  |

Client Meeting:

* + Present Feasibility study
  + Present Requirements
  + Present paper prototype – Design model draft
  + Present MATHEX folder documentation

Explain our assessment and our conclusion.

Work with client to determine future steps

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting Agenda** |  |  |
| **Action Item** | **Assigned To** | **Due Date** |

Analyse Feedback if Any.

Update all documentation version control.

Set up implementation phase

Further actions will be taken depending on Clients response and Supervisor

|  |
| --- |
| **Details\Points Made\Summary** |

*At the moment, AUT is the only sponsor of the project.*

*Client can see the project system being used for other purposes. Would increase how frequently it is used.*

*Cloud solution isn't desired.*

*Client believes that we may be "over-engineering" by looking into disaster management and health/safety. Wants us to keep it simple.*

*We can consider limiting access to the system (e.g. 1 user id per participating student). Would help lower system requirements.*

*Clients requirements are flexible. Says he is "negotiable".*

*Note: Some spectators may be supporting 2 teams (some schools have A and B teams).*

*Client really likes the paper prototype.*

*AUT branding is very strict. Have to be careful with how we use it. Not the biggest issue at this point though.*

*Suggestion: Cycling leader-board view. Switches automatically every so often.*

*Suggestion: Change the refresh rate on the leader-board. Increase to accommodate for more users. Doesn't necessarily have to update every second. Real-time is a broad term in this context.*

*Visiting the MATHEX event is very important.*

*Client wants to know "What IS feasible" if his original idea isn't feasible.*